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Why study reduced nicotine content cigarettes?

- All tobacco products contain nicotine.
- Nicotine is the addictive agent in tobacco products.
- Nicotine is responsible for the progression from initiation to regular smoking and difficulty with cessation.
Establishing a nicotine threshold for addiction--
The implications for tobacco regulation

• Goal:
  – To prevent nicotine addiction in youth.

• Threshold for addiction:
  – Dose to establish and maintain addiction
    ~ 5 mg/day.

• Proposal:
  – A gradual reduction of nicotine content of cigarettes over 10-15 years.

Potential Risks of Nicotine Reduction Strategy

- Compensatory oversmoking
- Black market
- Starter product for non-smokers
Nicotine Yield Reduction Studies: Key Issues

- Reduced Nicotine Content (RNC) cigarettes vs. commercial low yield cigarettes
- Safety of progressive nicotine reduction
- Consumer acceptability of reduced nicotine content cigarettes
- Lowering level of nicotine addiction
- Promoting smoking cessation
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Nicotine Content Reduction Study: Pilot Study Design

- Reduced Nicotine Content and Low Yield Commercial Cigarettes
- Uncontrolled, sequential, within-subject design
- Smokers of at least 10 cigarettes/day, not intending to quit in next 6 months
- Usual brand and 5 test cigarette brands
- Nicotine yield reduced at weekly intervals
- Brief cessation counseling at the end of the tapering phase
- 4 week follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usual Brand</th>
<th>Cigarette 1</th>
<th>Cigarette 2</th>
<th>Cigarette 3</th>
<th>Cigarette 4</th>
<th>Cigarette 5</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weeks of Study
# Characteristics of Research Cigarettes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNC Study Research Cigarette</th>
<th>Machine-Determined Nicotine Yield (mg)</th>
<th>Machine-Determined Tar Yield (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine Content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12mg</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8mg</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4mg</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2mg</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1mg</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Characteristics of Research Cigarettes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial Cigarettes</th>
<th>Machine-Determined Nicotine Yield (mg)</th>
<th>Machine-Determined Tar Yield (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pall Mall Light 100s</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit Kings</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Kings</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Now 100s</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlton 100s</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RNC Study Cigarettes Per Day

![Cigarettes per Day graph](image)
RNC Study Plasma Cotinine

![Graph showing plasma cotinine levels over weeks of study. The graph plots plasma cotinine (ng/ml) against week of study. The x-axis represents the week of study with values from 0 to 10, and the y-axis represents plasma cotinine levels from 0 to 250 ng/ml. The study periods are labeled as Usual, 12mg, 8mg, 4mg, 2mg, 1mg, and follow-up.](image-url)
RNC Study Carboxyhemoglobin
RNC Study Urine Total NNAL
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Summary

• Gradual reduction of nicotine content in cigarettes results in a progressive (70%) reduction of nicotine exposure.
• There is little evidence of smoking compensation, and no evidence of increased exposure to toxicants, or evidence of adverse effects on cardiovascular biomarkers.
• RNCs are acceptable to smokers, although not as good as usual brand cigarettes.
Summary

• After the end of the study, cigarette consumption was substantially reduced and dependence scores were lower, consistent with achieving a lower level of dependence.
• Approximately 25% of subjects achieved abstinence at 4 weeks after end of taper.
• Reduction of nicotine, CO and possibly TSNA exposure was observed with commercial cigarettes, but only at the two lowest exposure levels (ultra-low yield cigarettes).
Conclusion

• Regulation of the nicotine content of cigarettes and the use of a nicotine reduction strategy to prevent or reduce the level of nicotine addition appears to be safe and feasible, at least in the short term. Long-term studies are needed.
Cessation Studies using Reduced Nicotine Content Cigarettes: Key Issues

• Among a population of dependent smokers interested in quitting, what are the effects of denicotinized cigarettes on:
  – Reducing dependence?
  – Promotion of cessation?
  – Toxicant exposure and toxicity?
  – Consumer perception of the health risks?
Quest: Nicotine and Toxicant Modification

Step your way to Nicotine Free!

Study Design: Quest vs. Nicotine Lozenge

Smokers
(N = 167)

Quest 2
0.3 mg Nic
N = 53

Quest 3
0.05 mg Nic
N = 54

Commit Lozenge
4 mg
N = 60

Abstinence
6 weeks
University of Minnesota is looking for participants for a research study. This study examines a novel approach to quitting by using a new tobacco product as a step towards becoming smoke free. The study will look at health effects of this new product.
Effects of Switching on Dependence Scores

Least square mean for dependence scale

Visit
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Effects of Switching on Withdrawal Symptoms

Least square mean for withdrawal scale
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### Abstinence rates: Intent-to-treat 4 week continuous abstinence (Weeks 9-12)

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quest 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Quest 2</strong></td>
<td><strong>Nicotine lozenge</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.05 mg nicotine)</td>
<td>(0.3 mg nicotine)</td>
<td>4 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N=54</td>
<td>N=53</td>
<td>N=60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.4%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p=0.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cigarettes per Day

Least square mean of number of cigarettes used
(usual brand at baseline and Quest at treatment period)
Effects of Switching on CO

Least square mean of CO level
baseline & treatment period
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Effects of Switching on Total NNAL (NNK Metabolite)

Least square mean of ln(NNAL creatinine + 1)
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Effects of Switching on Perceived Risk of Lung Cancer

Least square mean of risk ladder of lung cancer
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Summary

• Among smokers interested in quitting, denicotinized cigarettes:
  – Reduced dependence
  – Facilitated abstinence
  – Reduced toxicant exposure
  – Did not change perceived risk for disease
Conclusion

- Denicotinized cigarettes hold significant promise in helping smokers quit.
- Sensory aspects of smoking play a role in tobacco addiction.
- Larger, longer-term trial and follow-up is needed.
Goals of Conference on Nicotine Regulation

- Present the current science on topics related to nicotine regulation.
- Discuss whether or not reducing nicotine levels may be feasible as a policy measure and under what conditions.
- Establish a research agenda to determine the feasibility and practicality of nicotine reduction as a policy measure.
Conference Conclusions and Recommendations

• Science base exists to support further investigation.
• Strong support to consider reducing nicotine levels as a potential method to reduce harm.
• However, the best approach to accomplish this goal is not yet known.
Research Questions

• What important constituents and design features contribute to addiction potential?
• Can a threshold dose for nicotine addiction be identified?
• As nicotine is reduced in cigarettes, can tobacco users use medications for cessation to reduce compensatory smoking and nicotine withdrawal?
Research Questions

- Are there any unintended consequences from nicotine reduction in tobacco?
- How do reduced nicotine cigarettes affect vulnerable populations (e.g., low SES, individuals with mental health disorders, and others)?
Potential for Tobacco Product Regulation

• Framework Convention on Tobacco Control
  – Article 9: Regulation of the contents of tobacco products
• Proposed legislation to provide FDA with authority to regulate tobacco products
  – Section 907(a)(4) authorizes issuance of a product standard by FDA “for the reduction of nicotine yields of the product”
  – The legislation forbids FDA from taking nicotine levels to zero, but allows FDA to issue a standard to lower nicotine yields to levels that cannot create or sustain addiction
What does the future hold?

• Science can inform public health and help guide how regulatory agencies control ingredients, toxicant emissions, and any aspect of tobacco product design and manufacture that affects nicotine delivery.

• Novel treatment mechanisms and methods to help smokers quit can be determined.