Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research



FNLCR Operational Update

David C. Heimbrook, Ph.D.

Laboratory Director, FNLCR, *and* President, Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc.

Feb. 3, 2015

The Frederick National Laboratory is a federally funded research and development center operated by SAIC-Frederick, Inc., for the National Cancer Institute DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES • National Institutes of Health • National Cancer Institute



Topics

- Follow up from September FNLAC meeting topics
 - Ebola Response
 - Partnering
 - National Programs

Implementation of FFRDC Best Practice

- User Facility
- Strengthen Ties to Local Universities
- Culture an 'Intrapreneurial" Mindset Lab-Directed R&D Fund

http://www.niaid.nih.gov/news/QA/Pages/EbolaVaxResultsQA.aspx

FNLCR Support of Ebola Outbreak Response Manufacture of Chimpanzee Adenovirus Ebola Vaccine Drug Product

- Vaccine Clinical Materials Program
 - Completed manufacture of ~6000 vials of chimpanzee adenovirus vector vaccines – formulation, fill, and finish
 - The vaccine candidate was developed by the VRC in collaboration with Okairos, a European biotech recently acquired by GSK
 - 5 manufacturing runs from September to December
 - All vials were shipped to clinical sites or distribution centers as directed by NIAID
 - No additional Ebola vaccine manufacturing is anticipated in the forseeable future
- Based on positive results of Phase I trials, NIAID is continuing with plans for larger efficacy trials in West Africa



3

Frederick National Laboratory

FNLCR Support of Ebola Outbreak Response Support of Vaccine Clinical Trials

Frederick National Laboratory

Clinical Monitoring Research Program

 Establish required infrastructure and operational centers to conduct NIAIDsponsored clinical vaccine trial in Monrovia, Liberia





- Operational planning and project management
 Obside trials management, required the management
- Clinical trials management, regulatory and pharmacovigilance support
- Renovation of laboratory and clinical facilities in Monrovia
- Staffing of operations center in Monrovia
- Procurement supplies, equipment, subcontracts, logistics
- Team leads for the NIAID Ebola Working Group (lab and operations)

STATUS : PREVAIL Phase 2/3 trial opened Feb 2, 2015

http://www.niaid.nih.gov/news/newsreleases/2015/Pages/PREVAIL.aspx

FNLCR Partnering Update *Approvals since last update*



• 2 new cCRADAs signed

FNL LEAD	Partner	Subject	Duration
CRTP (Nissley)	UCSF	RAS Biology, Reagent, Cell Line Development and Validation	5 yrs
NCL (McNeil)	AstraZeneca	Nanomicelle Formulations of pharmaceuticals for delivery to solid tumors	2 yrs

• 24 Technical Service Agreements signed

- Total value - \$1,033,145

Partnering Update Pipeline cCRADAs with approved Concept Approval Form

Subject Partner Duration **FNL LEAD** Structural characterization of KRAS 4b CRTP Local University 3 yrs (Stephen) hypervariable region interactions using NMR NCL Novel method to evaluate bioequivalence of Pharma 1 yr (Stern) nanomedicines NEW **BSP Major University** Visiting Professorship 1 yr (Carrington) **CRTP** Top 20 Pharma **KRAS-CRAF** inhibitor screen 1 yr (Holderfield) NHP model of targeting residual virus in ACVP **Top 10 Pharma** individuals on suppressive antiretroviral drug 1 yr (Lifson) treatment Impact of combination antiretroviral **ACVP Major University** treatment and CD4+ cell depletion on the SIV 1 yr (Estes) reservoir in macaques NCL **Top 20 Pharma** Nanotech formulation of regulatory inhibitor 1 yr (McNeil)

Frederick National Laboratory

- Proposed that the ACVP acquire a new primate facility to :
 - Enable return of current primate housing to the Center for Cancer Research
 - Accommodate anticipated cCRADA demand from academia and pharma for new AIDS primate models



 Options being explored include existing Federal facilities, Contract Research Organizations, and leased space

- Key topics include :
 - Optimizing use of existing facilities
 - Cost of fit-out and operation
 - "Buyer of last resort" if cCRADA's do not materialize in a timely fashion
 - Early lease termination costs

National Program Implementation Nanotechnology Characterization Laboratory

The evolution of nanomedicine in the 10 years since the formation of the NCL creates new opportunities

Frederick

Laboratory

for Cancer Research

National



Transnational CollaborationDs

A detailed proposal to exploit these opportunities will be presented by 8 Dr. Scott McNeil later in the day

Topics



- Follow up from September FNLAC meeting topics
 - Ebola Response
 - Partnering
 - National Programs

• Implementation of FFRDC Best Practice

- User Facility
- Strengthen Ties to Local Universities
- Culture an 'Intrapreneurial" Mindset Lab-Directed R&D Fund

- 1. Identify a suitable "User Facility" capability that will draw a continuous flow of external scientists to FNLCR
- 2. Strengthen ties to local universities
 - U. MD and Johns Hopkins University
- **3.** Culture an "intrapreneurial" mindset
 - "Intrapreneur" provide freedom and financial support to create exploratory new programs
 - "Venture" funding of exploratory projects is required to get them started, then transition to other funding sources
 - DOE Labs use Laboratory Directed R & D (LDRD) fund as the primary vehicle

Today's "National Molecular Microscopy Laboratory" presentation by Dr. S. Subramaniam addresses the first opportunity...



Strengthening Ties to Local Universities

- Potential benefits of stronger academic ties are apparent
 - Joint appointments, new perspectives, reciprocal training, etc
- FNLCR does not currently have a strategic research relationship with any local research institution
 - Individual laboratories build collaborations based on expertise and mutual interest at the national level
 - The Visiting Scientist Program has not generated a robust flow of prominent scientists interested in coming to work at FNLCR
 - ~ Two dozen postdocs in FNLCR laboratories

How to implement, and with whom?

- Physical co-location akin to "Berkeley" not feasible more of a "Sandia" or "Oak Ridge" model
- RAS program Spokes and RAS Community not regional, but provides a compelling draw
- Frederick Regional Higher Education initiative with University System of MD & Johns Hopkins
 - Fulfills a regional need for creating local Higher Education (post-baccalaureate) opportunities
 - Supported by MD General Assembly

Strengthening Ties to Local Universities University of Maryland

- There are ongoing tactical scientific collaborations
- Our first recent attempt to build a strategic university relationship





- Meetings in 2013 and 2014 led to Dr.
 Patrick O'Shea (Vice President and Chief Research Officer) joining the Leidos Biomed Board of Directors
 - Sustained interaction provides more insight into areas of mutual collaborative interest

http://www.research.umd.edu/about/staff/oshea

Frederick

Laboratory

for Cancer Research

National

Strengthening Ties to Local Universities Johns Hopkins University

 There are ongoing tactical scientific collaborations but no strategic effort



- Dr. Varmus hosted a visit by Johns Hopkins University leadership to FNLCR in October
 - Included Medical School, Cancer Center, Advanced Physics Lab, and administrative leadership
 - FNLCR leadership provided an overview of some of our key programs, including RAS, biomarker and clinical support areas, and partnering

• Follow up

- Leidos Biomed has committed funding to a joint scientific symposium this spring to build scientist-to-scientist contacts
- Ongoing discussions with leadership between JHU, Leidos Biomed, and NCI on the type of strategic relationships which could emerge

Frederick

Laboratory

for Cancer Research

National

Strengthening Ties to Local Universities Aligning with the Frederick Community

The Frederick Major Employers Group identified the creation of a Higher Education Center as a top priority

 In 2013, the Maryland Legislature approved the formation of an Advisory Board and funded a "needs assessment"

Needs Assessment Conclusions :

- There is a significant need for expanded educational opportunities in Frederick, especially at the post-baccalaureate level
 - Biological sciences, Bioinformatics, Allied Health, Engineering, Cyber
- Local biological science expertise and infrastructure (FNLCR, BNBI, Medimmune / Astra Zeneca, Lonza, etc) can be both an asset and a customer for the Center
- Local academic institutions (Mt. Saint Mary's, Frederick Community College, Hood College) should be partners, and not competitors
- <u>The national reputation of the degree-granting institution is important</u>







Strengthening Ties to Local Universities *Frederick CREST- Advisory Board Activities*

- Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research
- Vision for the Frederick CREST (Center for Research and Education in Science and Technology) : a collaborative educational and research hub in Frederick County between regional businesses and educational institutions designed to enhance economic development and job creation.
- Drafted an operating plan consisting of an Operational Board, with a permanent Center Director and Educational Advisory Board underneath
- Created a draft Maryland legislative bill (HB37 / SB25) to transition to operating status and enable access to state funding
- Initiated contacts with U. MD and Johns Hopkins University to discuss how they could participate in the Frederick CREST

<u>The FNLCR and Frederick community efforts to strengthen ties</u> with local research universities are synergistic

Culture an "Intrapreneurial" Mindset Intrapreneurial science enabled by academic mindset and "ownership" of the project

- Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research
- Virtually all significant new projects at the 3 DOE labs visited started with LDRD, funded by the Congressionally-enabled "tax" on all funding
 - Varying levels of government involvement in project approval in different Labs
- FNLCR does not have LDRD, but modest "Venture Funding" did exist
 - "Technology development" funding from Office of Scientific Operations (OSO) solicited and funded Contractor-originated research proposals within the (now pivoted) Advance Technology Program up to \$3M / year
 - Leidos (corporate parent to Leidos Biomed) allows Laboratory Director to retain a portion of earned award fee to fund discretionary one-time or short-term research activities (\$0.2 to \$0.4 M / year)
- Based on DOE Lab experience, a vibrant intrapreneurial scientific culture requires robust "venture" funding of pilot projects

Lab-Directed R&D fund at FNLCR A Cornerstone of DOE FFRDC Success

- Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research
- "Pseudo-LDRD" Funding from NCI's Office of the Director, rather than an "overhead" charge on all contract funding

• LDRD Fund Objectives

- Enhance the innovation, creativity, originality, and quality of its research activities
- Facilitate collaborations within FNLCR
- Engage local universities to encourage collaboration and strategic interactions
- Enable demonstration of exploratory "proof of concept" projects which will lead to durable funding through contract or grant mechanisms
- The Laboratory Director of FNLCR is responsible for the overall execution and management performance of the LDRD program

Lab-Directed R&D fund at FNLCR Proposal Creation

Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research

- Create a "Technical Fellows Team" to define a strategic focus for the initial Request for Proposals
 - Subject to approval by Laboratory Director and NCI Office of Director
- Open solicitation of proposals in the strategic focus areas from FNLCR scientists
 - 3-page maximum
 - Firm fixed budget
 - Includes plan for mitigation of impact on other contract deliverables, if funded
 - 1 year, renewable upon review and approval
 - Not intended to :
 - Substitute or increase funding for any tasks for which a limitation has been established by Congress or which have been funded by NIH or any other users of FNLCR
 - Require additional funds for completion of project
 - Fund construction design beyond preliminary phase
 - Fund general capital expenditures apart from what is required for the project

Lab-Directed R&D fund at FNLCR Proposal Pathway

- Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research
- Proposals will be reviewed and prioritized within each directorate
- The Technical Fellows Team will convene a review panel consisting of themselves and external scientific reviewers, including participants from U. MD and JHU, to evaluate the proposals
 - Review based on written proposal, directorate prioritization, and brief verbal presentations for each proposal
- The review panel will score all proposals and provide the information to the Laboratory Director
- The Laboratory Director will decide which proposals to fund, based on priorities and available budget
 - Follow up discussions may be required
- The Laboratory Director will provide the approved proposal list, budget, and impact mitigation plans to NCI's Office of the Director

Lab-Directed R&D fund at FNLCR Project execution



- Program updates at 6 months
- Project review after 1 year or at expenditure of budgeted funds
 - Each project will submit a brief (5 page max) description of what was accomplished, what remains to be done, and next steps (if any) at the end of the funding year
 - Options : Terminate program or renew with additional funding (through normal proposal process)
- Laboratory Director will report on LDRD accomplishments annually to NCI's Office of the Director and the Frederick National Laboratory Advisory Committee

Open issues

- Establishing robust processes
 - Build off of cCRADA efforts
- Staffing LDRD efforts without impacting deliverables for other NIH programs
- Funding access and amounts

Lab-Directed R&D Proposals at FNLCR Reviewed annually by NCI & FNLAC

Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research



Conclusions

- Two key elements of FFRDC "Best Practice" are being pursued
 - Building relationships with local research universities has emerged is a top priority for both FNLCR and the Frederick community
 - We have developed a plan to initiate "Lab-directed R & D" funding to seed new programs at FNLCR

Does the FNLAC support these efforts?