Clinical Trials and Translational Research Advisory Committee (CTAC)

Guidelines Harmonization Working Group Report (Part I)

James Abbruzzese, MD July 15, 2009

Guidelines Harmonization Working Group: Goals

- Harmonize program guidelines and develop incentives to foster collaboration among all components of the clinical trials infrastructure including Cancer Centers, SPOREs, and Cooperative Groups
- Promote collaborative team science:
 - Ensure that guidelines for different clinical trials funding mechanisms are aligned
 - Eliminate redundancy and duplication while proactively encouraging collaboration

Guidelines Harmonization Working Group: Members

James Abbruzzese (Chair)

David Alberts

Laurence Baker

Charles Erlichman

Bruce Hillman

Richard Schilsky

James Doroshow

Paulette Gray

NCI Liaisons:

Jeff Abrams

Leslie Ford

Toby Hecht

Anna Levy (Exec. Sec.)

Meg Mooney

Sheila Prindiville

Linda Weiss

Purpose

Provide guidance on the integration of NCI's clinical trials system

Goal: increase and facilitate the movement of ideas from early translation → early clinical trials → phase III trials

Approach

- Define collaboration
- Identify model collaborative efforts
- Examine current guidelines for clinical & translational research infrastructures and disincentives to collaboration
- Develop a vision document with recommendations
- Present to CTAC

Approach

- Activities & roles of major NCIsupported clinical trials and translational research infrastructures
 - Cooperative Groups
 - SPOREs
 - Cancer Centers
 - Phase I U01 grants/Phase II N01 contracts
 - CCOPs/MB-CCOPs
 - Clinical P01s, Clinical R01s, etc.

Current Program Guidelines

 Initial survey of guidelines related to collaboration focused on:

- Program objectives
- Application/review criteria
- Incentives/disincentives

Current Disincentives to Collaboration

General Observations:

- Limited reimbursement for patient accrual
- Lack of incentives for collaboration
- Inconsistent incentives for resource sharing
- Variability in collaboration across translational/clinical spectrum - depends on institutional & program leadership
- Guidelines and review criteria for collaborative activities need to be harmonized, strengthened and implemented across funding mechanisms

Recommendations (1)

Revise guidelines across programs

 Provide meaningful & specific guidance on what is needed to receive credit for active collaboration across translational and clinical trials infrastructures

Recommendations (2)

Revise guidelines across programs

- Incentivize trans-mechanism collaborations to facilitate transition from pre-clinical & early clinical development to phase III trials
- Revise program goal statements and guidelines to emphasize collaborations across funding mechanisms

Recommendations (3)

Revise guidelines across programs

- Assess program leadership based on facilitation of trans-mechanism interactions
- Review credit for inter- & transmechanism collaborations
- Encourage trans-mechanism phase II trials utilizing CTSU/Cooperative Groups

Recommendations (3a)

Revise guidelines across programs

(Proposed 7-14-09)

- Describe trans-mechanism collaborations, where applicable, in a discrete section of grant applications
- This section will receive a rating which will impact the overall score

Recommendations (4)

Revise guidelines across programs

- Supplemental funding for phase III studies performed in Cooperative Groups based on early clinical results from other NCI-funding mechanisms
- Review credit for NCI mechanisms where early results led to phase III Cooperative Group trials
- Incentives to enhance collaborations between CCOPs/MB-CCOPs, Cancer Centers, Groups to accelerate transfer of knowledge from trials to community practice

Recommendations (5)

Revise guidelines across programs

- Credit Cancer Centers based on the level of externally peer reviewed trials
- Support pilot projects for multidisciplinary and translational collaborations

<u>Credit for collaboration must be</u> <u>reflected in priority scores</u>

Recommendations (1)

Incentives to collaboration

Salary support & investigator recognition

- To institutional Pls through Cooperative Group mechanism
- "Chairs Fund"
- Increase number & budget for institutional U10s
- To support PIs who collaborate across programs/mechanisms on common scientific questions
- Utilize K-awards for senior investigators to facilitate collaborations

Recommendations (2)

Incentives to collaboration

- Enhance recognition and career development for contributors to collaborative clinical trials who are not currently PIs
- Establish performance criteria, designations; "Scholar", "NCI Quality Investigator"
- New awards, e.g., "Cancer Clinical Investigator Team Leadership Award"

Recommendations (3)

Incentives to collaboration

Enhance patient accrual

- Increase per patient reimbursement
- Review consideration for significant accrual to non-group, non-endorsed CTSU studies
- Expand capacity of CTSU to accommodate patients in large phase II studies

Recommendations (4)

Incentives to collaboration

- Formalize a process to facilitate development and conduct of collaborative clinical trial concepts from investigators not currently engaged in NCI-funded clinical trials mechanisms
- Provide access to resources; CTSU, data coordination, accrual reimbursement across NCI clinical trials mechanisms

Recommendations (5)

Incentives to collaboration

Build on GO Grants for Clinical/Translational Research

Develop new mechanism to move exciting clinically applicable ideas through the clinical trials system based on collaborations amongst Cancer Centers, SPORES, Cooperative Groups, PO1's

Outcomes Measures

Using CTWG, TRWG evaluation process, measure progress in collaboration

- Consistent guidelines across mechanisms that promote collaboration
- Review credit reflected in priority scores
- Collaborative activities between programs
- Phase III trials based on early phase studies
- Increased contributions by program leaders across translational/clinical trials system

Proposed Next Steps

To evaluate progress in the implementation of these recommendations, the Working Group will continue to meet and provide input

Next steps:

If CTAC accepts report, Part I – July 2009:

- NCI staff will develop guidelines revisions
- NCI staff will further develop & propose plans for incentives
- Periodic progress report to Working Group and CTROC
- CTAC Report, Part II