

CTAC Working Group and CCCT Updates

Sheila A. Prindiville, MD, MPH

Coordinating Center for Clinical Trials (CCCT)

March 10, 2010

Outline

- **Working Group Updates**
 - CTWG Evaluation (proposed)
 - Guidelines Harmonization (GHWG)
 - Process to Accelerate Translational Science (PATS)
 - Cost-Effectiveness Analyses (CEA)

- **CCCT Updates**
 - Clinical Investigator Team Leadership Award
 - Biomarkers, Imaging, and Quality of Life Studies Funding Program (BIQSFP)

CTWG Overall Evaluation Plan

- **Baseline Feasibility Analysis & Recommended Future Evaluation System final report completed in October 2008 (previously presented to CTAC)**
- **Proposed a structured evaluation system**
 - Designed by experienced evaluation specialists
 - Blend of quantitative/qualitative measures
 - Perceptions of clinical trial experts and structured empirical data
- **Next steps are to:**
 - Review the Baseline Feasibility Analysis & Recommended Future Evaluation System final report
 - Determine which of the proposed measures should be included in the final evaluation system
 - Determine the periodicity of the measurements

CTWG Evaluation Plan Categories of Measures

- **System Outcome Measures**
 - Is the overall output of the NCI clinical trials system improving?
- **System Process Measures**
 - Are the individual CTWG initiatives having the desired effect on the performance of the NCI clinical trials system?

System Outcome Measures

- **Quality of Trials**
 - Publications
 - Strength of trial designs
- **Impact of Trials**
 - Guide new therapeutics or diagnostics development
 - Lead to changes in patient management
- **Efficiency of Trial Development and Initiation**
 - Time to first patient on study
- **Efficiency of Trial Conduct**
 - Rate of accrual, cost-effectiveness

System Process Measures

- **Measures proposed for the 22 individual initiatives**
- **Drs. Sargent & Adamson have ‘previewed’ the system outcome and process measures to facilitate discussions by proposed CTWG Evaluation Working Group**
- **Examples of some of the proposed system process measures for the Disease-specific Steering Committees**

Disease-specific Steering Committees: Proposed Evaluation Components

- **Database analyses**
- **Expert panel to assess quality of the system output**
- **Stakeholder interviews to assess process and quality**

Disease-specific SC Database Analyses

- **Time to initiate trials**
 - Time from steering committee review to final decision
 - Time from steering committee review to first patient on study
 - Time from task force presentation to steering committee review
- **Collaboration**
 - Number of Cooperative Groups endorsing studies
 - Number and percentage of patients accrued by non-lead Group
- **Quality of clinical trials**
 - Percentage of trials meeting monthly/yearly accrual targets
 - Percentage of trials closed early due to lack of accrual
 - Percentage of trials published in peer-reviewed journals

Disease-specific SC Expert Panel Assessments

- **Strategic vision of SC and whether approved trials align with vision**
- **Quality of concepts**
 - Are concepts received by steering committees improving in quality over time?
 - Influence of steering committees & task forces on trial design
- **Quality of trials in disease area**
 - Efforts to bring forward new interventions in patient populations with no Phase 3 or large Phase 2I trials
 - Are Phase 3 trials designed based on strong evidence from earlier phase trials or more experimental in nature?
 - Are Phase 3 trials designed to identify practice changing improvements rather than incremental improvements?

Disease-specific SC Interviews about Process

- **Extramural Investigators**
 - Quality, transparency, fairness, speed of processes
 - Influence of Clinical Trials Planning meetings on trial design
 - Influence of Task Forces/Steering Committees on trial design
 - Increased collaboration between Cooperative Groups
- **SC Members & NCI Staff**
 - Quality, transparency, fairness, speed of processes
 - Influence of Clinical Trials Planning meetings on trial design
 - SC track record in disapproving scientifically weak concepts
 - Incorporation of community oncologist and patient advocate input in trial design
 - Increased collaboration between Cooperative Groups
 - Collaboration between Disease-specific SCs and other system committees (IDSC, Symptom Management, and Imaging)

Extramural Input Needed

- **Propose forming CTWG Evaluation Working Group**
- **Goal:**
 - To develop recommendations for evaluating the impact of the implementation of the recommendations of the Clinical Trials Working Group
 - The evaluation system should highlight areas of progress and success as well as identify areas which will require additional focus or adjustment in implementation efforts.
- **Objectives:**
 - Review the Baseline Feasibility Analysis & Recommended Future Evaluation System final report
 - Determine which of the proposed measures should be included in the final evaluation system
 - Determine the periodicity of the measurements

Guidelines Harmonization Working Group

- **Chair: James Abbruzzese, MD**
- **Goal:**
 - Harmonize program guidelines and develop incentives to foster collaboration among all components of the clinical trials infrastructure including Cancer Centers, SPOREs, and Cooperative Groups
 - **Promote collaborative team science:**
 - Ensure that guidelines for different clinical trials funding mechanisms are aligned
 - Eliminate redundancy and duplication while proactively encouraging collaboration

Guidelines Harmonization Working Group Status

- **Initial report and recommendations presented and accepted by CTAC on July 15, 2009**
- **NCI staff are currently developing guidelines revisions and plans for incentives**
 - SPORE and Cancer Center guidelines revised to address major changes in review and application guidelines at the NIH level
 - Recommendations of GHWG and OEWG were “mapped” to SPORE, Cancer Center, and Cooperative Group guidelines
 - Areas or sections of existing guidelines that are responsive or should be modified have been identified as well as areas needing new guidelines
 - Staff are collaborating on drafting “harmonized” guideline revisions
- **Full report back to CTAC in July 2010**

Process to Accelerate Translational Science (PATs) Working Group Update

- **November 2009: CTAC accepted Immune Response Modifier (IRM) Pathway Prioritization Working Group report**
- **January 2010: Constituted internal NCI IRM Pathway STRAP implementation committee**
- **Stephen Creekmore, M.D.,Ph.D., Susan Rossi, Ph.D., M.P.H., co-chairs**
- **Charged with developing an IRM Pathway STRAP**
 - Choose Immune Modifying Agent provided by NCI
 - Call for applications
 - Review criteria and process
 - FY2010

STRAPs for other TRWG Pathways

- **Process to Accelerate Translational Science Working Group (PATS WG)**
- **Co-chairs: Lynn Matrisian, Ph.D. and Ken Cowan, M.D.**
- **Feb meeting weather-related cancellation, May meeting planned**
- **Charge**
 - Review the Immune Response Modifier Pathway prioritization experience
 - Discuss potential alternative approaches to gathering information on translational research opportunities for acceleration
 - Assess NCI infrastructures for the Agents pathway (i.e. NExT program)
 - Advise on the prioritization process for other TRWG pathways and across the pathways

Other TRWG Activities

- **NCI Translational Science Meeting: July 2011**
- **Pilot project with Division of Extramural Activities coding NCI grants by TRWG pathways ongoing**
- **Evaluation plan for TRWG initiatives in development**

Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (CEA) Working Group

- **Chair: Scott Ramsey, MD, PhD**
- **Purpose:**
 - Advise CTAC and the NCI on the development of a prioritization process and funding mechanism to ensure that the most important cost-effectiveness analyses can be initiated in a timely manner in association with clinical trials
- **Objectives:**
 - Develop prioritization criteria for determining the most important cost-effectiveness analyses to conduct in conjunction with clinical trials
 - Recommend possible funding mechanisms for support of high priority cost-effectiveness analyses

Clinical Investigator Team Leadership Award

- **CTWG initiative to enhance recognition for mid-level clinical investigators at academic institutions who promote successful clinical research programs**
- **11 awards made in 2009**
- **Partial salary support for up to \$50,000 per year for two years**
- **2010 applications due March 26, 2010**
- **Centers receiving an award in 2009 are not eligible for 2010**

KEY CHANGES IN REVISED BIQSFP ANNOUNCEMENT (April 1, 2010)

- **Integral studies embedded in large (≥ 100 patients), randomized Phase 2 concepts for therapeutic trials with a control arm are eligible for BIQSFP funding**
- **A Quality of Life (QOL) Checklist and an integrated Biomarker/Imaging Checklist have been added**
- **For integral biomarkers, the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) number of the lab performing the assay(s) is required**

<http://ccct.nci.nih.gov>