



# **NCI Clinical Proteomic Technologies for Cancer**

## **Re-issuance**

Anna D. Barker, Ph.D. Deputy Director National Cancer Institute

NCI Board of Scientific Advisors March 8, 2010

Henry Rodriguez, Ph.D., M.B.A. National Cancer Institute



Only a handful of new protein biomarkers Introduced in past 15 yrs and current rate is only ~1.5/yr



"The genome is after all the instruction but it doesn't do the work – proteins do the work." **Dr. Francis Collins, source: Catalyst, ABC 2001** 

Leigh Anderson, Clin Chem (2010) in press

TECHNOLOGIES 108 CANCER

# Basic Technology for Studying Cancer at the Molecular Level

#### THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

#### **Technologies for Quantitative Analysis**



 Consensus that unlike genomic technologies, proteomic technologies were not fully mature

Source: Adopted from "Defining the Mandate of Proteomics in the Post-Genomics Era: Workshop Report." *National Academy of Sciences. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 1:763-780, 2002.



# Barriers identified (NCI workshops 2002-2005):

- Experimental design
- Analytical variability (platform assessment/optimization MS/MS)
- Lack of standards, protocols, and reference data

- Biospecimens
- Data acquisition, analysis, and reporting
- Lack of high-quality reagents

## **History of CPTC**



### NCI Proteomics Planning Process

/(	Oct 2006	CPTC launched
7	Dec 2005	<ul> <li>Proteomic Affinity/Capture Methods Workshop</li> </ul>
F	Feb 2005	<ul> <li>Proteomic Technologies Informatics Workshop</li> </ul>
•	Jan 2005	<ul> <li>Clinical Proteomics Technologies Team Initiative proposal</li> </ul>
N S	Nov 2004 Sept 2004	<ul> <li>Clinical Proteomics and Biomarker Discovery in Cancer Research</li> </ul>
J	une 2004	<ul> <li>Initial draft proposal for a Clinical Proteomics/Biomarker Discovery Initiative</li> </ul>
A	April 2003	<ul> <li>Proteomic Technologies for Early Cancer Detection</li> </ul>
A	April 2002	<ul> <li>Proteomics Planning Workshop (NCI/NHGRI/NIGMS)</li> </ul>

#### Planning: Identification of Phase 1 CPTC Goals

- Technical barriers (platform evaluation / optimization)
  - Discovery (survey) stage
  - Verification (targeted) stage
- Biospecimen collection, handling, storage and processing
- Experimental design (Statistical Issues))
- Data acquisition, analysis and reporting
- Lack of Standards, protocols, high quality reagents

BSA recommendation, CPTC to address sources of variability and bias in clinical proteomics

## **CPTC Center Network** (Team Science/Multidisciplinary)



Leland Hartwell, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

CLINICAL PROTEOMIC TECHNOLOGIES TOR CANCER

## Key Accomplishments (Milestone Driven)

#### Standardization/Transferability of mass spectrometry

- 4 different LC-MS/MS platforms standardized
- Shotgun mass spec; Targeted/Quantitative mass spec (Verification)
- Metrics, SOPs (27), performance data sets (6), reference materials (2), computational algorithms (26) developed
- Bias-free biospecimen collection (Ransohoff, Skates, OBBR)
- FDA: 2 mock 510(k) presubmissions (multiplex- mass spec & affinity array)
- Public Data Portal (caTranche: caBIG<sup>™</sup>-silver level)
- **Community Reagents** (Antibody Characterization Lab; 84+ monoclonal antibodies)
  - International antibody characterization standards
- Data Release Policies (Amsterdam Principles)
- Community Recognition: 11public-private partnerships; NHLBI coordination, MOU with FDA, Korea Institute, American Association for Clinical Chemistry (AACC)
- Scientific output: 7 patents; >171+ papers
- Leveraged funding: additional NIH grants, philanthropy, industry, and venture investors



## **CPTC Phase 1 Pipeline**

#### **CPTC Proteomics Pipeline and "Go / No-Go" Decision Points**



MS/MS – tandem mass spectrometry

MRM-MS – multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry

CLINICAL PROTEOMIC TECHNOLOGIES FOR CANCER

# **Independent Evaluation Report**

- Commissioned by NIH Office of the Director
- NIH support
  - National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney (NIDDK)
  - National Center for Research Resources (NCRR)
- Advisory Committee
  - <u>NCI</u>: Drs. Gallahan, Jessup, Solomon, Aragon, and Hiltke
  - <u>NCRR</u>: Dr. Old, Senior Advisor to the Director (formerly Clinical Proteomics Director at National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute)
  - <u>UPenn Medical School</u>: Dr. Blair, Vice Chair, Department of Pharmacology
- Outcome
  - Significant milestones achieved; long-term potential as a key component in proteomics for personalized medicine



CLINICAL PROTEOMIC

An Extended Feasibility Analysis of the Clinical Proteomic Technologies for Cancer Initiative

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES National Institutes of Health

# **CPTC Phase 2 Strategy Workshop**



#### Recommendations

 Phase 1 of CPTC will achieve goals – set the stage for rational biomarker discovery pipeline

CLINICAL PROTEOMIC

- TCGA and other large scale genomic characterization/sequencing programs have shown that a large scale, systematic approach can identify most genomic alterations
- CPTC is poised to build upon the outputs of TCGA (and similar efforts) in the context of protein biology (protein mapping)
- Phase 2 of CPTC should employ results of Phase 1 develop network to systematically verify relevant proteins using known genomic alterations as starting point – enable technology development

#### Participants

 Genomicists, oncologists, technologists, biologists, informatics, clinical chemists, diagnostics, therapeutics, regulatory, patient advocates, NCI Divisions



**Adopted from Cameron Brennan** 

Significant Opportunity to Leverage Progress in CPTC – Employ TCGA and Other Genomic Data to Define Cancer Proteomes

 TCGA and similar efforts provides rational basis for cancer proteomics

#### Biological mechanisms:

- Are genetic aberrations detectable at protein level?
  - qualitative and quantitative
- What is their effect on protein function?
  - e.g. protein-protein interaction; structure-function relationship

#### Clinical applications:

- Can genotypic information guide protein biomarker development?
- Are these candidates involved in specific biological pathways?
  - e.g., cancer development & progression; predictive/drug response

# A systematic/integrative approach is needed

CD CLINICAL PROTEOMIC



Source: Adopted from – Gstaiger, M., Aebersold, R. Applying mass spectrometrybased proteomics to genetics, genomics and network biology. *Nature Reviews Genet.* 2009 Sep;10(9):617-27.

## **Proposed CPTC Phase 2 Pipeline**

= CPTCs

Go / No-Go Go / No-Go Go / No-Go 2 3 Data, Results Data, Results **TCGA** Storage & QC Storage & QC Analytically validated Analyte quantitative assays of proteins Biospecimen Assay Protein Protein •Pubic data sets that QC Characterization Development Verification reveal which transcripts are Prioritization translated, while mapping back to the TCGA Reagents Go / No-Go

= BCR = PRRC

= Clinical experts

= DCC

### Emerging Proteomics Pipeline (A Paradigm Shift in Technology)

CLINICAL PROTEOMIC



qualification studies

"This is a first step in the long march to move protein biomarkers from the laboratory into the clinic."

Steven A. Carr, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard

• Pipeline enables delivery of highlycredentialed protein biomarker candidates for clinical studies (qualification).

# **Re-issuance: CPTC Pipeline**



- Highly credentialed biomarker candidates for qualification studies
- Library/catalog of characterized & verified protein analytes (genomic correlation)
- Quantitative multiplex assays, with data sets, reagents and SOPs

# **Re-issuance: Components – Deliverables**



#### **CPTC Deliverables**



 Library/catalog of characterized & verified protein analytes (genomic correlation)

• Highly credentialed biomarker candidates for qualification studies



Quantitative multiplex assays, with data sets, reagents and SOPs

CLINICAL PROTEOMIC TECHNOLOGIES 1098 CANCER

# Re-issuance: Proposed Mechanism and Budget

#### **CPTC center network:**

- RFA (U24: Resource-Related Research Project-Cooperative Agreements)
- 6-8 Centers
- Annual budget: \$15-24M, Total budget: \$75-120M

#### **Reagents and Resources:**

- RFP (contracts)
- (e.g., performance mixtures, antibodies)
- Common biospecimens
- Biomarker candidate library/database
- Data Coordination Center
- Annual budget: \$2.5M, Total budget: \$12.5M

## **Re-issuance: Milestones**

To evaluate the second phase of the CPTC program on an ongoing basis, a matrix of quantitative performance measures will be used. Milestones will depend to some extent on the members of the phase 2 network – and may require adjustment based on the cancer type being studied. Benchmarks that will be utilized to launch the program include the following:

- The number of genetic aberrations successfully confirmed by proteomic data
- The number of verified proteins that emerge from the program
- The number of new platforms and methodologies matured through trans-network testing
- The number of quantitative protein assays developed and analytically validated (verification stage)
- The number of cancer types studied

# Re-issuance: Phase 2 Summary

- Develop a network to systematically characterize and verify proteins with a basis of known genomic alterations
- Enable technology development
- Analytically validate quantitative multiplex assays, with associated data sets, reagents and SOPs
- Enable the R01 and/or clinical communities to pursue rational biomarker discovery and development

In short, CPTC Phase 2 will provide a resource of **well-characterized** and robust protein candidates, **orthogonally verified** using advanced technology platforms, **cross-tested within a network**, and **shared with the public** quickly for further development as potential cancer biomarkers. In this process, **promising candidates could most certainly be discovered**, but it is not the main goal/mission of the pipeline as the overall mission is to facilitate exploration of relevant portions of the cancer proteome at one level by CPTC and more exensively by the research communities