### THE CANCER GENOME ATLAS



### **Genome Characterization of Glioblastoma Multiforme**

Cameron Brennan, MD Assistant Professor, Surgeon Department of Neurosurgery

**Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center** 



### Overview

### THE CANCER GENOME ATLAS

- GBM: most common adult brain tumor
- Short survival despite therapy
- High incidence of EGFR mutation (>50%)
- EGFR inhibitors alone unsuccessful
- Need a clear picture of additional mutations which may abrogate sensitivity to targeted inhibitors in EGFR-mutant tumors
- Need models, therapeutic targets for non-EGFR-mutant tumors



### 52wk median survival

#### The Cancer Genome Atlas Preliminary Analysis

- Resolving new molecularly-defined subclasses of GBM
- Subclasses closely associated with mutations in <u>EGFR</u>, <u>PDGFRA</u>, & <u>NF1</u> with implications for therapy and stratification of patients in current trials.
- Subclasses mirror known genetically-defined mouse models and give these models new relevance for biologic and preclinical studies

## Canonical alterations in Primary vs Secondary GBM



Adapted from Holland, Nature Reviews Genetics, 2001

THE CANCER GENOME ATLAS

### Molecular subclassification of GBM

#### Phillips et al., Cancer Cell. 2006



Unclear difference in survival
No new therapeutic targets

## Expression clustering of survival-associated genes

- Mixed histology, grade
- Three subclasses:
  - Proneural
  - Mesenchymal
  - Proliferative

#### Mellinghoff et al., NEJM 2005

THE CANCER GENOME ATLAS



- EGFR-inhibitor trial; retrospective analysis of responders vs. non-responders
- 7/7 responders: intact PTEN expression
- Loss of PTEN predicted response failure even in EGFR-mutant/amplified tumors
- $\rightarrow$  delay of TTP was small in responders
- $\rightarrow$  unclear if prospective stratification works
- established the importance of other mutations as context when treating a "target"



U133 expression, 205 primary GBM → At least 3 defined subclasses of tumors

# Small intragenic deletions in EGFR account for majority of activating mutations



vIII deletion

c-terminal deletions

# Integration of exon expression, copy number, sequencing defines a subclass with predominant EGFR alteration



expression  $\bullet$ , amplification  $\bullet$ , deletion  $\bullet$ , mutation by seq  $\Delta$  or del  $\Delta$ 

- 65% EGFR amplified and/or mutated (69/106)
- small % ERBB2, MET mutations
- 20% yet to be sequenced

### PDGFRA amplification/mutation: hallmarks of second GBM subclass



Western for EGFR and PDGFB in 27 high-grade glioma (22 GBM)

- → Significant proportion of GBM have elevated PDGF ligand \*not\* receptor amplification
- → PDGF signaling in EGFR-amplified tumors recently described (Stommel et al, Science 2007)

# PDGF-like class: expression of "proneural" markers associated with PDGF/SHH signaling



→ Olig2 and NKX2.2, associated with PDGF and SHH signaling, are elevated in this group

### NF1 deletion/mutation: hallmarks of third GBM subclass



expression  $\bullet$ , deletion  $\bullet$ , mutation by seq  $\triangle$ 

- NF1-associated group:
  - Near uniform low expression
  - 63% deleted and/or mutated (24/38)
  - 40% yet to be sequenced

### Mouse models exists for each class



<u>NF1</u> NF1+p53 / ko NF1 RCAS-shRNA + p53<sup>-/-</sup>

> <u>PDGF-like</u> RCAS-PDGFB + Ink4a/ARF<sup>-/-</sup> tet-PDGF / p53<sup>-/+</sup> Tumor spheres

> > EGFR-like

EGFRvIII-rv + Ink4a/ARF<sup>-/-</sup> NSC rTTA-EGFRmt + Ink4a/ARF<sup>-/-</sup> Tumor spheres

### **Summary of results**



- Preliminary analysis of TCGA data has revealed at least three subclasses of GBM
- Each associated with mutations of direct therapeutic relevance: EGFR, PDGFRA and NF1
- Deeper analysis of subclasses is underway:
  - integration across expression platforms, miRNA and methylation
  - integration with pathology and clinical variables
  - definition of mutation patterns in each subclass (e.g., Ink4a/ARF, PTEN)
  - there may be a more refined subclassification
  - $\rightarrow$  4-way clustering to be described by C. Perou, shown above for comparison

### Acknowledgements

### **The Cancer Genome Atlas Network**

### **Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center**

Eric Holland Dolores Hambardzumyan Hiro Momota Ingo Mellinghoff Marc Ladanyi

